



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No.

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

COPY MAILED

MAR 31 2008

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Dong-Hoon Lee et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 10/826,274	:	PURSUANT TO
Filed: April 19, 2004	:	37 C.F.R. § 1.182
Attorney Docket No. 0630-1976P	:	
Title: METHOD OF FABRICATING COLOR	:	
FILTER PANEL USING BACK EXPOSURE	:	
AND STRUCTURE OF COLOR FILTER PANEL	:	

This is in response to the petition filed on February 14, 2008, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.182, requesting the withdrawal of a terminal disclaimer.

The petition is DISMISSED.

On May 9, 2009, Petitioner submitted a terminal disclaimer to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection over U.S. Patent Number 7,123,333, in a non-final Office action mailed February 9, 2007.

With this petition, Petitioner has requested the withdrawal of this terminal disclaimer, however the petition does not appear to contain an explanation of why it would be proper for the Office to withdraw this terminal disclaimer.

It does not appear that Petitioner has established, or even asserted, that it would be proper for the Office to withdraw this terminal disclaimer.

Any reply must be submitted within **TWO MONTHS** from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) are permitted. The reply should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.182." This is not a final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C § 704.

The renewed petition should indicate in a prominent manner that the attorney handling this matter is Paul Shanowski, and may be submitted by mail¹, hand-delivery², or facsimile³. Registered users of EFS-Web may alternatively submit a response to this decision via EFS-Web⁴.

If responding by mail, Petitioner is advised not to place the undersigned's name on the envelope. Only the information that appears in the footnote should be included - adding anything else to the address will delay the delivery of the response to the undersigned.

It is noted that the address listed on the petition differs from the address of record. The application file does not indicate a change of correspondence address has been filed in this case, although the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. If Petitioner desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the change of correspondence address must be submitted. A courtesy copy of this decision will be mailed to Petitioner. However, all future correspondence will be directed to the address of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary. Petitioner will not receive future correspondence related to this application unless Change of Correspondence Address, Patent Form (PTO/SB/122) is submitted for the above-identified application. For Petitioner's convenience, a blank Change of Correspondence Address, Patent Form (PTO/SB/122), may be found at <http://www.uspto.gov/web/forms/sb0122.pdf>.

Telephone inquiries regarding *this decision* should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225⁵. All other inquiries

1 Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA, 22313-1450.

2 Customer Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA, 22314.

3 (571) 273-8300- please note this is a central facsimile number.

4 <https://sportal.uspto.gov/authenticate/authenticateuserlocalepf.html>

5 Petitioner will note that all practice before the Office should be in writing, and the action of the Office will be based exclusively on the

concerning examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

/Paul Shanowski/
Paul Shanowski
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions

cc: BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
8110 GATEHOUSE ROAD
SUITE 100 EAST
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

written record in the Office. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.2. As such, Petitioner is reminded that no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for any further action(s) of Petitioner.